



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,312	07/31/2003	Ralph Tomonelli	9539-000089	1879
27572 7	590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
P.O. BOX 828				
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/200/	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/631,312	TOMONELLI, RALPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Greg Binda	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ite, cause the application to become become become the course of	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			*		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copie	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	n □	Summary (DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/631,312

Art Unit: 3679

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a slotted member, classified in class 138, subclass 119.
 - II. Claims 6-13, drawn to a propshaft assembly, classified in class 464, subclass 180.
 - III. Claims 14-17, drawn to a method of making a propshaft assembly, classified in class 29, subclass 517.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the slots in the combination do not have to overlap (this so because the slots can be staggered/spaced apart radially in the combination without ever axially overlapping). The subcombination has separate utility such as a flexible shaft coupling.
- Inventions III and I/II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 10/631,312

Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

product as claimed can be made by another process because the propshaft assembly could be made by a method comprising the step of providing an insert with a polygonal cross section.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one group is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Drawings

- 6. The drawings are objected to because:
 - a. Reference numeral 210 is use to identify both a yoke assembly and a yoke flange (see para 0021).
 - b. Reference numeral 220 appears in para 0022 but does not appear in the drawings.
 - c. Reference characters 208, 224 & 226 appear in Fig. 4 but are not mentioned in the description.

,

Application/Control Number: 10/631,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

Specification

7. The specification is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.71 and 1.75(d)(1) because the detailed description fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter in claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 11 & 14-17.

Claim Objections

- 8. Claims 4, 5, 10 & 12 are objected to because:
 - a. Claim 4 recites the nonsensical limitation "said first distance and said second distance is about equal and less than a length of said insert member." The plural subject (the first and second distances) does not agree with the singular predicate (is). Furthermore, it is not clear how a length measurement could be BOTH equal to, AND less than, another length measurement. It can be either one or the other, but not both.
 - b. Claim 5, line 2, and claim 12, line 2, the word "each" should be inserted after "are".
 - c. Claim 10, line 2, "is" should be replaced with "are each".

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Mondays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Binda Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679